arXiv:1409.2716v1 [math.CT] 9 Sep 2014

N-ANGULATED QUOTIENT CATEGORIES INDUCED BY MUTATION PAIRS

ZENGQIANG LIN

Abstract. We define mutation pair in an n-angulated category and prove that given such a mutation pair, the corresponding quotient category carries a natural n-angulated structure. This result generalizes a theorem of Iyama-Yoshino in classical triangulated category. As an application, we obtain that the quotient category of a Frobenius n-angulated category is also an n-angulated category.

1. Introduction

Triangulated categories were introduced by Grothendieck-Verdier [10] and Puppe [9] independently to axiomatize the properties of derived categories and stable homotopy categories respectively. Triangulated category is a very important structure in both geometry and algebra.

Geiss, Keller and Oppermann introduced the notion of n-angulated categories, which are "higher dimensional" analogues of triangulated categories, and showed that certain $(n-2)$-cluster tilting subcategories of a triangulated category give rise to n-angulated categories. For $n = 3$, an n-angulated category is nothing but a classical triangulated category. Nowadays the theory of n-angulated categories has been developed further. Bergh and Thaule discussed the axioms for an n-angulated category [2]. They introduced a higher "octahedral axiom" and showed that it is equivalent to the mapping cone axiom. Another examples of n-angulated categories from local algebras were given in [3]. The notion of Grothendieck group of an n-angulated category was introduced to give a generalization of Thomason's classification theorem for triangulated subcategories [4]. Recently, Jasso introduced n-abelian and n-exact categories, and showed that the quotient category of a Frobenius n-exact category has a natural structure of $(n + 2)$-angulated category [8, Theorem 5.11], which is a higher analogue of Happel's Theorem [6, Theorem 2.6].

The aim of this paper is to discuss the construction of n-angulated categories. In this paper, we define mutation pair in an n-angulated category and prove that given such a mutation pair, the corresponding quotient category carries a natural n-angulated structure. For $n = 3$, our main result recover Iyama-Yoshino's theorem [7, Theorem 4.2]. As an application, we show that the quotient category of a Frobenius n-angulated category has a natural structure of n-angulated category, which is a higher version of [1, Theorem 7.2]. We can compare it with [8, Theorem 5.11].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18E30.

Key words and phrases. n-angulated category; quotient category; mutation pair.

This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants No. 11101084) and the Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province (Grants No. 2013J05009).

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the definition of an n-angulated category and give some useful facts. In Section 3, we define mutation pair in an n-angulated category, then state and prove our main results.

2. N-angulated categories

In this section we recall some basics on n-angulated categories from [5] and [2].

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an additive category equipped with an automorphism $\Sigma : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$, and n an integer greater than or equal to three. An n-Σ-*sequence* in $\mathcal{C}$ is a sequence of morphisms

$$X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} X_3 \xrightarrow{f_3} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X_n \xrightarrow{f_n} \Sigma X_1.$$

Its *left rotation* is the n-Σ-sequence

$$X_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} X_3 \xrightarrow{f_3} X_4 \xrightarrow{f_4} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X_n \xrightarrow{f_n} \Sigma X_1 \xrightarrow{(-1)^n \Sigma f_1} \Sigma X_2.$$

We can define *right rotation of an n-Σ-sequence* similarly. A *morphism of n-Σ-sequences* is a sequence of morphisms $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \cdots, \varphi_n)$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & X_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & X_3 & \xrightarrow{f_3} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} & X_n & \xrightarrow{f_n} & \Sigma X_1 \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_3} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_n} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Sigma\varphi_1} \\
Y_1 & \xrightarrow{g_1} & Y_2 & \xrightarrow{g_2} & Y_3 & \xrightarrow{g_3} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{g_{n-1}} & Y_n & \xrightarrow{g_n} & \Sigma Y_1
\end{array}$$

where each row is an n-Σ-sequence. It is an *isomorphism* if $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \cdots, \varphi_n$ are all isomorphisms in $\mathcal{C}$.

Definition 2.1. ([5]) An *n-angulated category* is a triple $(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma, \Theta)$, where $\mathcal{C}$ is an additive category, Σ is an automorphism of $\mathcal{C}$, and Θ is a class of n-Σ-sequences (whose elements are called n-angles), which satisfies the following axioms:

(N1) (a) The class Θ is closed under direct sums and direct summands.

(b) For each object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ the trivial sequence

$$X \xrightarrow{1_X} X \to 0 \to \cdots \to 0 \to \Sigma X$$

belongs to Θ.

(c) For each morphism $f_1 : X_1 \to X_2$ in $\mathcal{C}$, there exists an n-angle whose first morphism is f_1.

(N2) An n-Σ-sequence belongs to Θ if and only if its left rotation belongs to Θ.

(N3) Each commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & X_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & X_3 & \xrightarrow{f_3} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} & X_n & \xrightarrow{f_n} & \Sigma X_1 \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_2} & & \vdots{\scriptstyle \varphi_3} & & & & \vdots{\scriptstyle \varphi_n} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Sigma\varphi_1} \\
Y_1 & \xrightarrow{g_1} & Y_2 & \xrightarrow{g_2} & Y_3 & \xrightarrow{g_3} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{g_{n-1}} & Y_n & \xrightarrow{g_n} & \Sigma Y_1
\end{array}$$

with rows in Θ can be completed to a morphism of n-Σ-sequences.

(N4) In the situation of (N3), the morphisms $\varphi_3, \varphi_4, \cdots, \varphi_n$ can be chosen such that the mapping cone

$$X_2 \oplus Y_1 \xrightarrow{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} -f_2 & 0 \\ \varphi_2 & g_1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} X_3 \oplus Y_2 \xrightarrow{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} -f_3 & 0 \\ \varphi_3 & g_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} \cdots \xrightarrow{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} -f_n & 0 \\ \varphi_n & g_{n-1} \end{smallmatrix}\right)} \Sigma X_1 \oplus Y_n \xrightarrow{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} -\Sigma f_1 & 0 \\ \Sigma\varphi_1 & g_n \end{smallmatrix}\right)} \Sigma X_2 \oplus \Sigma Y_1$$

belongs to Θ.

We recall a higher "octahedral axiom" (N4′) for an n-angulated category as follows, which was introduced by Bergh and Thaule [2].

(N4′) Given a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccc}
X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & X_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & X_3 & \xrightarrow{f_3} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{f_{n-2}} & X_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} & X_n & \xrightarrow{f_n} & \Sigma X_1 \\
\| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_2} & & & & & & & & & & \| \\
X_1 & \xrightarrow{g_1} & Y_2 & \xrightarrow{g_2} & Y_3 & \xrightarrow{g_3} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{g_{n-2}} & Y_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{g_{n-1}} & Y_n & \xrightarrow{g_n} & \Sigma X_1 \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h_2} & & & & & & & & & & \\
 & & Z_3 & & & & & & & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h_3} & & & & & & & & & & \\
 & & \vdots & & & & & & & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h_{n-2}} & & & & & & & & & & \\
 & & Z_{n-1} & & & & & & & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h_{n-1}} & & & & & & & & & & \\
 & & Z_n & & & & & & & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h_n} & & & & & & & & & & \\
 & & \Sigma X_2 & & & & & & & & & &
\end{array}$$

whose top rows and second column are n-angles. Then there exist morphisms $\varphi_i : X_i \to Y_i (i = 3, 4, \cdots, n)$, $\psi_j : Y_j \to Z_j (j = 3, 4, \cdots, n)$ and $\phi_k : X_k \to Z_{k-1} (k = 4, 5, \cdots, n)$ with the following two properties:

(a) The sequence $(1_{X_1}, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \cdots, \varphi_n)$ is a morphism of n-angles.

(b) The n-Σ-sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
X_3 &\xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} f_3 \\ \varphi_3 \end{pmatrix}} X_4 \oplus Y_3 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -f_4 & 0 \\ \varphi_4 & -g_3 \\ \phi_4 & \psi_3 \end{pmatrix}} X_5 \oplus Y_4 \oplus Z_3 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -f_5 & 0 & 0 \\ -\varphi_5 & -g_4 & 0 \\ \phi_5 & \psi_4 & h_3 \end{pmatrix}} X_6 \oplus Y_5 \oplus Z_4 \\
&\xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -f_6 & 0 & 0 \\ \varphi_6 & -g_5 & 0 \\ \phi_6 & \psi_5 & h_4 \end{pmatrix}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -f_{n-1} & 0 & 0 \\ (-1)^{n-1}\varphi_{n-1} & -g_{n-2} & 0 \\ \phi_{n-1} & \psi_{n-2} & h_{n-3} \end{pmatrix}} X_n \oplus Y_{n-1} \oplus Z_{n-2} \\
&\xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} (-1)^n\varphi_n & -g_{n-1} & 0 \\ \phi_n & \psi_{n-1} & h_{n-2} \end{pmatrix}} Y_n \oplus Z_{n-1} \xrightarrow{(\psi_n \ h_{n-1})} Z_n \xrightarrow{\Sigma f_2 \cdot h_n} \Sigma X_3 \qquad (2.1)
\end{aligned}$$

is an n-angle, and $h_n \cdot \psi_n = \Sigma f_1 \cdot g_n$.

Theorem 2.2. *(*[2, Theorem 4.4]*) If Θ is a class of n-Σ-sequences satisfying the axioms (N1), (N2) and (N3), then Θ satisfies (N4) if and only if Θ satisfies (N4′).*

At the end of this section, we give two useful facts on n-angulated categories.

Lemma 2.3. *(*[5, Remarks 1.2 (c)]*) If $(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma, \Theta)$ is an n-angulated category, then the opposite category $(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \Sigma^{-1}, \Phi)$ is also an n-angulated category, where*

$$\Sigma^{-1}X_n \xleftarrow{(-1)^n\Sigma^{-1}f_n} X_1 \xleftarrow{f_1} X_2 \xleftarrow{f_2} \cdots \xleftarrow{f_{n-2}} X_{n-1} \xleftarrow{f_{n-1}} X_n$$

is an n-angle in Φ provided that

$$X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} X_3 \xrightarrow{f_3} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X_n \xrightarrow{f_n} \Sigma X_1$$

is an n-angle in Θ.

Lemma 2.4. *Let*

$$X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} X_3 \xrightarrow{f_3} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X_n \xrightarrow{f_n} \Sigma X_1$$

be an n-angle in an n-angulated category $\mathcal{C}$. Then

(1) the induced sequence

$$\cdots \to \mathcal{C}(-, X_1) \to \mathcal{C}(-, X_2) \to \cdots \to \mathcal{C}(-, X_n) \to \mathcal{C}(-, \Sigma X_1) \to \cdots$$

is exact;

(2) the induced sequence

$$\cdots \to \mathcal{C}(\Sigma X_1, -) \to \mathcal{C}(X_n, -) \to \cdots \to \mathcal{C}(X_2, -) \to \mathcal{C}(X_1, -) \to \cdots$$

is exact.

Proof. (1) We refer to [5, Proposition 1.5 (a)]. (2) Follows from (1) and Lemma 2.3. □

3. Main results

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an additive category and $\mathcal{D}$ a subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$. When we say that $\mathcal{D}$ is a subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$, we always mean that $\mathcal{D}$ is full and is closed under isomorphisms, direct sums and direct summands. A morphism $f : X \to Y$ in $\mathcal{C}$ is called $\mathcal{D}$-*monic* if $\mathcal{C}(Y, D) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(f,D)} \mathcal{C}(X, D) \to 0$ is exact for any object $D \in \mathcal{D}$. A morphism $f : X \to D$ in $\mathcal{C}$ is called a *left $\mathcal{D}$-approximation of X* if f is $\mathcal{D}$-monic and $D \in \mathcal{D}$. We can defined $\mathcal{D}$-*epic* morphism and *right $\mathcal{D}$-approximation* dually.

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an n-angulated category, and $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}$ be subcategories of $\mathcal{C}$. The pair $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{Z})$ is called a $\mathcal{D}$-*mutation pair* if it satisfies:

(1) For any object $X \in \mathcal{Z}$, there exists an n-angle

$$X \xrightarrow{d_1} D_1 \xrightarrow{d_2} D_2 \xrightarrow{d_3} \cdots \xrightarrow{d_{n-2}} D_{n-2} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} Y \xrightarrow{d_n} \Sigma X$$

where $D_i \in \mathcal{D}, Y \in \mathcal{Z}, d_1$ is a left $\mathcal{D}$-approximation and d_{n-1} is a right $\mathcal{D}$-approximation.

(2) For any object $Y \in \mathcal{Z}$, there exists an n-angle

$$X \xrightarrow{d_1} D_1 \xrightarrow{d_2} D_2 \xrightarrow{d_3} \cdots \xrightarrow{d_{n-2}} D_{n-2} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} Y \xrightarrow{d_n} \Sigma X$$

where $X \in \mathcal{Z}, D_i \in \mathcal{D}, d_1$ is a left $\mathcal{D}$-approximation and d_{n-1} is a right $\mathcal{D}$-approximation.

Note that if $\mathcal{C}$ is a triangulated category, i.e. when $n = 3$, and $\mathcal{D}$ is a rigid subcategory, then a $\mathcal{D}$-mutation pair is just the same as Iyama-Yoshino's definition [7, Definition 2.5].

Example 3.2. We first recall the standard construction of n-angulated categories given by Geiss-Keller-Oppermann [5, Theorem 1]. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a triangulated category and $\mathcal{T}$ an $(n-2)$-cluster tilting subcategory which is closed under Σ^{n-2}, where Σ is the suspension functor of $\mathcal{C}$. Then $(\mathcal{T}, \Sigma^{n-2}, \Theta)$ is an n-angulated category, where Θ is the class of all sequences

$$X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} X_3 \xrightarrow{f_3} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X_n \xrightarrow{f_n} \Sigma^{n-2} X_1$$

such that there exists a diagram



with $X_i \in \mathcal{T}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that all oriented triangles are triangles in $\mathcal{C}$, all non-oriented triangles commute, and f_n is the composition along the lower edge of the diagram.

If $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}$ are subcategories of $\mathcal{T}$ and $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{Z})$ is a $\mathcal{D}$-mutation pair in triangulated category $\mathcal{C}$, then it is easy to see that $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{Z})$ is a $\mathcal{D}$-mutation pair in n-angulated category $\mathcal{T}$.

For a $\mathcal{D}$-mutation pair $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{Z})$ in an n-angulated category $\mathcal{C}$, consider the quotient category $\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{D}$, whose objects are objects of $\mathcal{Z}$ and given two objects X, Y, the set of morphisms $(\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{D})(X, Y)$ is defined as the quotient group $\mathcal{Z}(X, Y)/[\mathcal{D}](X, Y)$, where $[\mathcal{D}](X, Y)$ is the subgroup of morphisms from X to Y factoring through some object in $\mathcal{D}$. For any morphism $f : X \to Y$ in $\mathcal{Z}$, we denote by $\underline{f}$ the image of f under the quotient functor $\mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{D}$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccc}
X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & X_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & X_3 & \xrightarrow{f_3} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{f_{n-2}} & X_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} & X_n & \xrightarrow{f_n} & \Sigma X_1 \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle a_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a_3} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a_{n-1}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a_n} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Sigma a_1} \\
X & \xrightarrow{d_1} & D_1 & \xrightarrow{d_2} & D_2 & \xrightarrow{d_3} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{d_{n-2}} & D_{n-2} & \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} & Y & \xrightarrow{d_n} & \Sigma X,
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccc}
X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & X_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & X_3 & \xrightarrow{f_3} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{f_{n-2}} & X_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} & X_n & \xrightarrow{f_n} & \Sigma X_1 \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle a_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a'_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a'_3} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a'_{n-1}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a'_n} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Sigma a_1} \\
X & \xrightarrow{d_1} & D_1 & \xrightarrow{d_2} & D_2 & \xrightarrow{d_3} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{d_{n-2}} & D_{n-2} & \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} & Y & \xrightarrow{d_n} & \Sigma X
\end{array}$$

be morphisms of n-angles, where $X, Y, X_i \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $D_j \in \mathcal{D}$. Then $\underline{a_n} = \underline{a'_n}$ in quotient category $\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{D}$.

Proof. Since $d_n a_n = \Sigma a_1 \cdot f_n = d_n a'_n$, we have $d_n(a_n - a'_n) = 0$. By Lemma 2.4(1) we obtain that $a_n - a'_n$ factors through d_{n-1} thus $\underline{a_n} = \underline{a'_n}$. □

Now we construct a functor $T : \mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{D}$ as follows. For any object $X \in \mathcal{Z}$, fix an n-angle

$$X \xrightarrow{d_1} D_1 \xrightarrow{d_2} D_2 \xrightarrow{d_3} \cdots \xrightarrow{d_{n-2}} D_{n-2} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} TX \xrightarrow{d_n} \Sigma X$$

with $D_i \in \mathcal{D}, TX \in \mathcal{Z}, d_1$ is a left $\mathcal{D}$-approximation and d_{n-1} is a right $\mathcal{D}$-approximation. For any morphism $f \in \mathcal{Z}(X, X')$, since d_1 is a left $\mathcal{D}$-approximation, there exist morphisms a_i and g which make the following diagram commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccc}
X & \xrightarrow{d_1} & D_1 & \xrightarrow{d_2} & D_2 & \xrightarrow{d_3} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{d_{n-2}} & D_{n-2} & \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} & TX & \xrightarrow{d_n} & \Sigma X \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a_2} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a_{n-2}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Sigma f} \\
X' & \xrightarrow{d'_1} & D'_1 & \xrightarrow{d'_2} & D'_2 & \xrightarrow{d'_3} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{d'_{n-2}} & D'_{n-2} & \xrightarrow{d'_{n-1}} & TX' & \xrightarrow{d'_n} & \Sigma X'
\end{array}$$

Now we put $T\underline{f} = \underline{g}$. Note that $\Sigma f \cdot d_n = d'_n \cdot g$, which will be used frequently.

Proposition 3.4. *The functor $T : \mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{D}$ is a well defined equivalence.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, it is easy to see that T is a well defined functor. We can construct another functor $T' : \mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{D}$ by a dual manner. For any object $X \in \mathcal{Z}$, fix an n-angle

$$T'X \xrightarrow{d_1} D_1 \xrightarrow{d_2} D_2 \xrightarrow{d_3} \cdots \xrightarrow{d_{n-2}} D_{n-2} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} X \xrightarrow{d_n} \Sigma T'X$$

with $D_i \in \mathcal{D}, T'X \in \mathcal{Z}, d_1$ is a left $\mathcal{D}$-approximation and d_{n-1} is a right $\mathcal{D}$-approximation. For any morphism $f \in \mathcal{Z}(X, X')$, since d_{n-1} is a right $\mathcal{D}$-approximation, there exist morphisms b_i and g which make the following diagram commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccc}
T'X & \xrightarrow{d_1} & D_1 & \xrightarrow{d_2} & D_2 & \xrightarrow{d_3} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{d_{n-2}} & D_{n-2} & \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} & X & \xrightarrow{d_n} & \Sigma T'X \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle g} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle b_{n-2}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle b_{n-3}} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle b_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Sigma g} \\
T'X' & \xrightarrow{d'_1} & D'_1 & \xrightarrow{d'_2} & D'_2 & \xrightarrow{d'_3} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{d'_{n-2}} & D'_{n-2} & \xrightarrow{d'_{n-1}} & X' & \xrightarrow{d'_n} & \Sigma T'X'
\end{array}$$

We put $T'\underline{f} = \underline{g}$. The dual of Lemma 3.3 implies that T' is a well defined functor. It is easy to check that T' gives a quasi-inverse of T. □

Definition 3.5. Let

$$X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} X_3 \xrightarrow{f_3} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X_n \xrightarrow{f_n} \Sigma X_1$$

be an n-angle, where $X_i \in \mathcal{Z}$ and f_1 is $\mathcal{D}$-monic. Then there exists a commutative diagram of n-angles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccc}
X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & X_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & X_3 & \xrightarrow{f_3} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{f_{n-2}} & X_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} & X_n & \xrightarrow{f_n} & \Sigma X_1 \\
\| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a_3} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a_{n-1}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a_n} & & \| \\
X_1 & \xrightarrow{d_1} & D_1 & \xrightarrow{d_2} & D_2 & \xrightarrow{d_3} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{d_{n-2}} & D_{n-2} & \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} & TX_1 & \xrightarrow{d_n} & \Sigma X_1.
\end{array} \tag{3.1}$$

The n-T-sequence

$$X_1 \xrightarrow{\underline{f_1}} X_2 \xrightarrow{\underline{f_2}} X_3 \xrightarrow{\underline{f_3}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\underline{f_{n-1}}} X_n \xrightarrow{\underline{a_n}} TX_1$$

is called a *standard n-angle* in $\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{D}$. We define Φ the class of n-T-sequences which are isomorphic to standard n-angles.

Lemma 3.6. *Assume that we have a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccc}
X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & X_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & X_3 & \xrightarrow{f_3} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} & X_n & \xrightarrow{f_n} & \Sigma X_1 \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_3} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_n} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Sigma\varphi_1} \\
Y_1 & \xrightarrow{g_1} & Y_2 & \xrightarrow{g_2} & Y_3 & \xrightarrow{g_3} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{g_{n-1}} & Y_n & \xrightarrow{g_n} & \Sigma Y_1
\end{array}$$

where the rows are n-angles in $\mathcal{C}$, all $X_i, Y_i \in \mathcal{Z}$ and f_1, g_1 are $\mathcal{D}$-monic. Then we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccc}
X_1 & \xrightarrow{\underline{f_1}} & X_2 & \xrightarrow{\underline{f_2}} & X_3 & \xrightarrow{\underline{f_3}} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\underline{f_{n-1}}} & X_n & \xrightarrow{\underline{a_n}} & TX_1 \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \underline{\varphi_1}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \underline{\varphi_2}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \underline{\varphi_3}} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \underline{\varphi_n}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle T\underline{\varphi_1}} \\
Y_1 & \xrightarrow{\underline{g_1}} & Y_2 & \xrightarrow{\underline{g_2}} & Y_3 & \xrightarrow{\underline{g_3}} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\underline{g_{n-1}}} & Y_n & \xrightarrow{\underline{b_n}} & TY_1
\end{array}$$

where the rows are standard n-angles in $\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{D}$.

Proof. We only need to show that $T\underline{\varphi_1} \cdot \underline{a_n} = \underline{b_n} \cdot \underline{\varphi_n}$. By the constructions of the morphism $T\underline{\varphi_1}$ and the standard n-angles in $\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{D}$, we have the following two commutative diagrams of n-angles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccc}
X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & X_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & X_3 & \xrightarrow{f_3} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{f_{n-2}} & X_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} & X_n & \xrightarrow{f_n} & \Sigma X_1 \\
\| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a_3} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a_{n-1}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a_n} & & \| \\
X_1 & \xrightarrow{d_1} & D_1 & \xrightarrow{d_2} & D_2 & \xrightarrow{d_3} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{d_{n-2}} & D_{n-2} & \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} & TX_1 & \xrightarrow{d_n} & \Sigma X_1 \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a'_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a'_2} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a'_{n-2}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Sigma\varphi_1} \\
Y_1 & \xrightarrow{d'_1} & D'_1 & \xrightarrow{d'_2} & D'_2 & \xrightarrow{d'_3} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{d'_{n-2}} & D'_{n-2} & \xrightarrow{d'_{n-1}} & TY_1 & \xrightarrow{d'_n} & \Sigma Y_1,
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccc}
X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & X_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & X_3 & \xrightarrow{f_3} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{f_{n-2}} & X_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} & X_n & \xrightarrow{f_n} & \Sigma X_1 \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_3} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_{n-1}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_n} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Sigma\varphi_1} \\
Y_1 & \xrightarrow{g_1} & Y_2 & \xrightarrow{g_2} & Y_3 & \xrightarrow{g_3} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{g_{n-2}} & Y_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{g_{n-1}} & Y_n & \xrightarrow{g_n} & \Sigma Y_1 \\
\| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle b_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle b_3} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle b_{n-1}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle b_n} & & \| \\
Y_1 & \xrightarrow{d'_1} & D'_1 & \xrightarrow{d'_2} & D'_2 & \xrightarrow{d'_3} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{d'_{n-2}} & D'_{n-2} & \xrightarrow{d'_{n-1}} & TY_1 & \xrightarrow{d'_n} & \Sigma Y_1
\end{array}$$

where $T\underline{\varphi_1} = \underline{\psi_1}$. Lemma 3.3 implies that $T\underline{\varphi_1} \cdot \underline{a_n} = \underline{b_n} \cdot \underline{\varphi_n}$. □

Definition 3.7. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an n-angulated category. A subcategory $\mathcal{Z}$ is called extension-closed if for any n-angle

$$X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} X_3 \xrightarrow{f_3} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X_n \xrightarrow{f_n} \Sigma X_1$$

in $\mathcal{C}$, the objects $X_1, X_n \in \mathcal{Z}$ implies that $X_2, X_3, \cdots, X_{n-1} \in \mathcal{Z}$.

Now we can state and prove our main theorem.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an n-angulated category, and $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}$ be subcategories of $\mathcal{C}$. If $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{Z})$ is a $\mathcal{D}$-mutation pair and $\mathcal{Z}$ is extension-closed, then the quotient category $\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{D}$ is an n-angulated category with respect to the autoequivalence T and n-angles defined in Definition 3.5.*

Proof. We will check that the class of n-T-sequences Φ, which is defined in Definition 3.5, satisfies the axioms (N1), (N2), (N3) and (N4′). It is easy to see from the definition that (N1)(a) is satisfied.

For any object $X \in \mathcal{Z}$, the identity morphism of X is $\mathcal{D}$-monic. The commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccc}
X & \xrightarrow{1_X} & X & \xrightarrow{0} & 0 & \xrightarrow{0} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{0} & 0 & \xrightarrow{0} & 0 & \xrightarrow{0} & \Sigma X \\
\| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle d_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 0} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 0} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 0} & & \| \\
X & \xrightarrow{d_1} & D_1 & \xrightarrow{d_2} & D_2 & \xrightarrow{d_3} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{d_{n-2}} & D_{n-2} & \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} & TX & \xrightarrow{d_n} & \Sigma X
\end{array}$$

shows that

$$X \xrightarrow{1_X} X \to 0 \to \cdots \to 0 \to TX$$

belongs to Φ. Thus (N1)(b) is satisfied.

For any morphism $f : X \to Y$ in $\mathcal{Z}$, the morphism $\begin{pmatrix} f \\ d_1 \end{pmatrix} : X \to Y \oplus D_1$ is $\mathcal{D}$-monic, where d_1 is a left $\mathcal{D}$-approximation. Now we have three n-angles in $\mathcal{C}$:

$$X \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} f \\ d_1 \end{pmatrix}} Y \oplus D_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} X_3 \xrightarrow{f_3} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{n-2}} X_{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X_n \xrightarrow{f_n} \Sigma X \qquad (3.2)$$

$$Y \oplus D_1 \xrightarrow{(0\ 1_{D_1})} D_1 \xrightarrow{0} 0 \xrightarrow{0} \cdots \xrightarrow{0} 0 \xrightarrow{0} \Sigma Y \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1_{\Sigma Y} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} \Sigma Y \oplus \Sigma D_1$$

$$X \xrightarrow{d_1} D_1 \xrightarrow{d_2} D_2 \xrightarrow{d_3} \cdots \xrightarrow{d_{n-2}} D_{n-2} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} TX \xrightarrow{d_n} \Sigma X$$

where the first n-angle is by (N1)(c). Since $d_1 = (0\ 1_{D_1}) \begin{pmatrix} f \\ d_1 \end{pmatrix}$, we use axiom (N4′) to get an n-angle in $\mathcal{C}$

$$X_3 \to X_4 \oplus D_2 \to X_5 \oplus D_3 \to \cdots \to X_n \oplus D_{n-2} \to TX \to \Sigma Y \to \Sigma X_3.$$

Thus by (N2) we obtain the following n-angle

$$Y \to X_3 \to X_4 \oplus D_2 \to X_5 \oplus D_3 \to \cdots \to X_n \oplus D_{n-2} \to TX \to \Sigma Y.$$

Since $\mathcal{Z}$ is extension-closed and $Y, TX \in \mathcal{Z}$, we have $X_3, X_4 \oplus D_2, \cdots, X_n \oplus D_{n-2} \in \mathcal{Z}$. Thus $X_i \in \mathcal{Z}, i = 3, 4, \cdots, n$. Therefore, the n-angle (3.2) induces the standard n-angle in $\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{D}$

$$X \xrightarrow{\underline{f}} Y \xrightarrow{\underline{f_2}} X_3 \xrightarrow{\underline{f_3}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\underline{f_{n-2}}} X_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\underline{f_{n-1}}} X_n \xrightarrow{\underline{a_n}} TX.$$

Thus (N1)(c) is satisfied.

(N2) We only consider the case of standard n-angle, since the general case can be easily deduced. Let

$$X_1 \xrightarrow{\underline{f_1}} X_2 \xrightarrow{\underline{f_2}} X_3 \xrightarrow{\underline{f_3}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\underline{f_{n-1}}} X_n \xrightarrow{\underline{a_n}} TX_1$$

be a standard n-angle induced by the commutative diagram (3.1). We need to show that its left rotation belongs to Φ.

Consider the three n-angles in $\mathcal{C}$:

$$\Sigma^{-1}X_n \xrightarrow{(-1)^n\Sigma^{-1}f_n} X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} X_3 \xrightarrow{f_3} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{n-3}} X_{n-2} \xrightarrow{f_{n-2}} X_{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X_n$$

$$X_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} D_1 \xrightarrow{d_2} D_2 \xrightarrow{d_3} D_3 \xrightarrow{d_4} \cdots \xrightarrow{d_{n-2}} D_{n-2} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} TX_1 \xrightarrow{d_n} \Sigma X_1$$

$$\Sigma^{-1}X_n \xrightarrow{0} D_1 \xrightarrow{1_{D_1}} D_1 \xrightarrow{0} 0 \xrightarrow{0} \cdots \xrightarrow{0} 0 \xrightarrow{0} X_n \xrightarrow{1_{X_n}} X_n$$

where the first one is by (N2). Since $d_1 \cdot \Sigma^{-1}f_n = a_2 f_1 \cdot \Sigma^{-1}f_n = 0$, we use (N4′) to get an n-angle in $\mathcal{C}$

$$X_2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} f_2 \\ \varphi \end{pmatrix}} X_3 \oplus D_1 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -f_3 & 0 \\ \phi_3 & \psi \end{pmatrix}} X_4 \oplus D_2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -f_4 & 0 \\ \phi_4 & d_3 \end{pmatrix}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -f_{n-2} & 0 \\ \phi_{n-2} & d_{n-3} \end{pmatrix}}$$

$$X_{n-1} \oplus D_{n-3} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} (-1)^n\varphi' & 0 \\ \phi_{n-1} & d_{n-2} \end{pmatrix}} X_n \oplus D_{n-2} \xrightarrow{(\psi', d_{n-1})} TX_1 \xrightarrow{\Sigma f_1 \cdot d_n} \Sigma X_2$$

with $\varphi' = f_{n-1}$ and $d_n \cdot \psi' = (-1)^n f_n$. Note that $f_n = d_n a_n$, we have $d_n(\psi' - (-1)^n a_n) = 0$. Thus $\psi' - (-1)^n a_n$ factors through d_{n-1}, so that $\underline{\psi'} = (-1)^n \underline{a_n}$. We claim that the morphism $\begin{pmatrix} f_2 \\ \varphi \end{pmatrix} : X_2 \to X_3 \oplus D_1$ is $\mathcal{D}$-monic. In fact, for any morphism $f : X_2 \to D$ with $D \in \mathcal{D}$, there is a morphism $g : D_1 \to D$ such that $ff_1 = gd_1 = g\varphi f_1$ since d_1 is $\mathcal{D}$-monic. Now $(f - g\varphi)f_1 = 0$, there exists a morphism $h : X_3 \to D$ with $f - g\varphi = hf_2$. So $f = (h\ g)\begin{pmatrix} f_2 \\ \varphi \end{pmatrix}$. Assume that $T\underline{f_1} = \underline{g_1}$, then

we get $d'_n \cdot g_1 = \Sigma f_1 \cdot d_n$ by the definition of $T\underline{f_1}$. Thus we can obtain the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
X_2 & \xrightarrow{\binom{f_2}{\varphi}} & X_3 \oplus D_1 & \xrightarrow{\binom{-f_3\ 0}{\phi_3\ \psi}} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\binom{(-1)^n f_{n-1}\ \ 0}{\phi_{n-1}\ \ d_{n-2}}} & X_n \oplus D_{n-2} & \xrightarrow{(\psi'\ d_{n-1})} & TX_1 & \xrightarrow{\Sigma f_1 \cdot d_n} & \Sigma X_2 \\
\| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle b_1} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle b_{n-2}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g_1} & & \| \\
X_2 & \xrightarrow{d'_1} & D'_1 & \xrightarrow{d'_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{d'_{n-2}} & D'_{n-2} & \xrightarrow{d'_{n-1}} & TX_2 & \xrightarrow{d'_n} & \Sigma X_2
\end{array}$$

It follows that

$$X_2 \xrightarrow{\underline{f_2}} X_3 \xrightarrow{\underline{-f_3}} X_4 \xrightarrow{\underline{-f_4}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\underline{-f_{n-2}}} X_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\underline{(-1)^n f_{n-1}}} X_n \xrightarrow{\underline{(-1)^n a_n}} TX_1 \xrightarrow{\underline{Tf_1}} TX_2 \quad (3.3)$$

is a standard n-angle in $\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{D}$. Thus

$$X_2 \xrightarrow{\underline{f_2}} X_3 \xrightarrow{\underline{f_3}} X_4 \xrightarrow{\underline{f_4}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\underline{f_{n-2}}} X_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\underline{f_{n-1}}} X_n \xrightarrow{\underline{a_n}} TX_1 \xrightarrow{\underline{(-1)^n Tf_1}} TX_2 \quad (3.4)$$

belongs to Φ since the n-T-sequences (3.3) and (3.4) are isomorphic.

On the contrary, let

$$X_2 \xrightarrow{\underline{f_2}} X_3 \xrightarrow{\underline{f_3}} X_4 \xrightarrow{\underline{f_4}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\underline{f_{n-1}}} X_n \xrightarrow{\underline{f_n}} TX_1 \xrightarrow{\underline{Tf_1}} TX_2$$

be a standard n-angle in $\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{D}$. By a similar way, we can show that its right rotation is also an n-angle. We point out that we may use the dual of (N4′).

(N3) We only consider the case of standard n-angles. Suppose that there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
X_1 & \xrightarrow{\underline{f_1}} & X_2 & \xrightarrow{\underline{f_2}} & X_3 & \xrightarrow{\underline{f_3}} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\underline{f_{n-1}}} & X_n & \xrightarrow{\underline{a_n}} & TX_1 \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \underline{\varphi_1}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \underline{\varphi_2}} & & & & & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle T\underline{\varphi_1}} \\
Y_1 & \xrightarrow{\underline{g_1}} & Y_2 & \xrightarrow{\underline{g_2}} & Y_3 & \xrightarrow{\underline{g_3}} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\underline{g_{n-1}}} & Y_n & \xrightarrow{\underline{b_n}} & TY_1
\end{array} \quad (3.5)$$

with rows standard n-angles in $\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{D}$. Since $\underline{\varphi_2} \cdot \underline{f_1} = \underline{g_1} \cdot \underline{\varphi_1}$ holds, $\varphi_2 f_1 - g_1 \varphi_1$ factors through some object D in $\mathcal{D}$. Assume that $\varphi_2 f_1 - g_1 \varphi_1 = gf$, where $f : X_1 \to D$ and $g : D \to Y_2$. Since f_1 is $\mathcal{D}$-monic, there exists $h : X_2 \to D$ such that $f = hf_1$. Note that $(\varphi_2 - gh)f_1 = g_1 \varphi_1$, by (N3) we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & X_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & X_3 & \xrightarrow{f_3} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} & X_n & \xrightarrow{f_n} & \Sigma X_1 \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_2 - gh} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_3} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_n} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Sigma\varphi_1} \\
Y_1 & \xrightarrow{g_1} & Y_2 & \xrightarrow{g_2} & Y_3 & \xrightarrow{g_3} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{g_{n-1}} & Y_n & \xrightarrow{g_n} & \Sigma Y_1
\end{array}$$

with rows n-angles in $\mathcal{C}$. By Lemma 3.6, the diagram (3.5) can be completed to a morphism of n-angles.

(N4′) We only consider the case of standard n-angles. Let

$$X_1 \xrightarrow{\underline{f_1}} X_2 \xrightarrow{\underline{f_2}} X_3 \xrightarrow{\underline{f_3}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\underline{f_{n-1}}} X_n \xrightarrow{\underline{a_n}} TX_1$$

$$X_1 \xrightarrow{\underline{\varphi_2 f_1}} Y_2 \xrightarrow{\underline{g_2}} Y_3 \xrightarrow{\underline{g_3}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\underline{g_{n-1}}} Y_n \xrightarrow{\underline{b_n}} TX_1$$

$$X_2 \xrightarrow{\underline{\varphi_2}} Y_2 \xrightarrow{\underline{h_2}} Z_3 \xrightarrow{\underline{h_3}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\underline{h_{n-1}}} Z_n \xrightarrow{\underline{c_n}} TX_2$$

be three standard n-angles in $\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{D}$ which are induced by the following three n-angles in $\mathcal{C}$ respectively:

$$X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} X_3 \xrightarrow{f_3} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X_n \xrightarrow{f_n} \Sigma X_1$$

$$X_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2 f_1} Y_2 \xrightarrow{g_2} Y_3 \xrightarrow{g_3} \cdots \xrightarrow{g_{n-1}} Y_n \xrightarrow{g_n} \Sigma X_1$$

$$X_2 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} Y_2 \xrightarrow{h_2} Z_3 \xrightarrow{h_3} \cdots \xrightarrow{h_{n-1}} Z_n \xrightarrow{h_n} \Sigma X_2$$

where f_1 and φ_2 are $\mathcal{D}$-monic, thus $\varphi_2 f_1$ is $\mathcal{D}$-monic too. Then we have $f_n = d_n a_n, g_n = d_n b_n$ and $h_n = d'_n c_n$ by the definition of standard n-angles in $\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{D}$, where $d_n : TX_1 \to \Sigma X_1$ and $d'_n : TX_2 \to \Sigma X_2$.

By the axiom (N4′), there exist morphisms $\varphi_i : X_i \to Y_i (i = 3, 4, \cdots, n)$, $\psi_j : Y_j \to Z_j (j = 3, 4, \cdots, n)$ and $\phi_k : X_k \to Z_{k-1} (k = 4, 5, \cdots, n)$ satisfying (N4′)(a) and (N4′)(b). We need to show that in quotient category $\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{D}$ the corresponding (N4′)(a) and (N4′)(b) are satisfied.

By Lemma 3.6, (N4′)(a) holds. For (N4′)(b), we first note that the morphism $\binom{f_3}{\varphi_3} : X_3 \to X_4 \oplus Y_3$ is $\mathcal{D}$-monic. In fact, for any morphism $f : X_3 \to D$ with $D \in \mathcal{D}$, there exists a morphism $g : Y_2 \to D$ with $ff_2 = g\varphi_2$ since φ_2 is $\mathcal{D}$-monic. Now $g\varphi_2 f_1 = ff_2 f_1 = 0$, which implies that there exists a morphism $h : Y_3 \to D$ with $g = hg_2$. Note that $\varphi_3 f_2 = g_2 \varphi_2$, thus $(f - h\varphi_3)f_2 = 0$. Then there exists a morphism $i : X_4 \to D$ with $f - h\varphi_3 = if_3$. Hence $f = (i\ h)\binom{f_3}{\varphi_3}$. Therefore the n-angle (2.1) induces an n-angle in $\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{D}$ with the last morphism $\underline{e_n}$ satisfying $d''_n \cdot e_n = \Sigma f_2 \cdot h_n$, where $d''_n : TX_3 \to \Sigma X_3$.

To complete the proof, it suffices to check that $\underline{e_n} = T\underline{f_2} \cdot \underline{c_n}$ and $\underline{c_n}\underline{\psi_n} = T\underline{f_1} \cdot \underline{b_n}$. Let $T\underline{f_2} = \underline{i_2}$, then by definition we have $\Sigma f_2 \cdot d'_n = d''_n i_2$. Now $d''_n i_2 c_n = \Sigma f_2 \cdot d'_n c_n = \Sigma f_2 \cdot h_n = d''_n e_n$, which implies that $e_n - i_2 c_n$ factors through some object in $\mathcal{D}$, thus $\underline{e_n} = \underline{i_2 c_n} = T\underline{f_2} \cdot \underline{c_n}$. Similarly let $T\underline{f_1} = \underline{i_1}$, then $\Sigma f_1 \cdot d_n = d'_n i_1$. Note that $d'_n c_n \psi_n = h_n \psi_n = \Sigma f_1 \cdot g_n = \Sigma f_1 \cdot d_n b_n = d'_n i_1 b_n$. Thus $c_n \psi_n - i_1 b_n$ factors through some object in $\mathcal{D}$ and $\underline{c_n}\underline{\psi_n} = T\underline{f_1} \cdot \underline{b_n}$. □

Remark 3.9. In Theorem 3.8, if $n = 3$, then we can recover a theorem of Iyama-Yoshino [7, Theorem 4.2].

Before stating a corollary, we give some definitions. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an n-angulated category and $\mathcal{Z}$ an n-angulated subcategory. Denote by $\mathcal{E}$ the class of all n-angles in $\mathcal{Z}$ of the form

$$X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} X_3 \xrightarrow{f_3} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{n-2}} X_{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X_n \xrightarrow{f_n} \Sigma X_1$$

where we say f_1 is *admissible monomorphism* and f_{n-1} is *admissible epimorphism*. An object $I \in \mathcal{Z}$ is called *$\mathcal{E}$-injective* if for every admissible monomorphism $f : X \to Y$ the sequence $\mathcal{Z}(Y, I) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}(f,I)} \mathcal{Z}(X, I) \to 0$ is exact. Denote by $\mathcal{I}$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{E}$-injectives. We say $\mathcal{Z}$ *has enough $\mathcal{E}$-injectives* if for each object $X \in \mathcal{Z}$ there exists an n-angle

$$X \xrightarrow{f_1} I_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} I_2 \xrightarrow{f_3} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{n-2}} I_{n-2} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} Y \xrightarrow{f_n} \Sigma X$$

in $\mathcal{E}$ with all $I_i \in \mathcal{I}$. The notion of em having enough $\mathcal{E}$-projectives is defined dually. If $\mathcal{Z}$ has enough $\mathcal{E}$-injectives, enough $\mathcal{E}$-projectives and if $\mathcal{E}$-injectives and $\mathcal{E}$-projectives coincide, then we say $\mathcal{Z}$ is *Frobenius*.

The following result is a higher analogue of [1, Theorem 7.2]. We can also compare it with [8, Theorem 5.11].

Corollary 3.10. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an n-angulated category and $\mathcal{Z}$ an n-angulated subcategory. If $\mathcal{Z}$ is Frobenius, then the quotient category $\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{I}$ is an n-angulated category.*

Proof. It is easy to see that $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{Z})$ is an $\mathcal{I}$-mutation pair. Note that $\mathcal{Z}$ is an n-angulated subcategory implies that $\mathcal{Z}$ is extension-closed, thus the result follows from Theorem 3.8. □

References

[1] A. Beligiannis. Relative homological algebra and purity in triangulated categories. J. Algebra. 227, no.1, 268-361, 2000.

[2] P. A. Bergh, M. Thaule. The axioms for n-angulated categories. Algebr. Geom. Topol. 13(4), 2405-2428, 2013.

[3] P. A. Bergh and M. Thaule. Higher n-angulations from local algebras. arXiv:1311.2089, 2013.

[4] P. A. Bergh and M. Thaule. The Grothendieck group of an n-angulated category. J. Pure Appl. Algebra. 218(2), 354-366, 2014.

[5] C. Geiss, B. Keller and S. Oppermann. n-angulated categories. J. Reine Angew. Math. 675, 101-120, 2013.

[6] D. Happel. Triangulated categories in the representation theory of finite dimensional algebras. London Mathematical Society, LMN 119, Cambridge, 1988.

[7] O. Iyama, Y. Yoshino. Mutation in triangulated categories and rigid Cohen-Macaulay modules. Invent. Math. 172, no. 1, 117-168, 2008.

[8] G. Jasso. n-abelian and n-exact categories, arXiv:1405.7805v2, 2014.

[9] D. Puppe. On the formal structure of stable homotopy theory, Colloq. algebr. topology, Aarhus Universitet Matematisk Institutt, 1962, 65-71.

[10] J-L. Verdier. Catégories dérivées, état 0, LNM 569, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1977, 262-308.

School of Mathematical sciences, Huaqiao University, Quanzhou 362021, China.
E-mail address: lzq134@163.com